IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3987 OF 2000
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.3990 OF 2000
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.3991 OF 2000

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mrs.S.Mutalik for the petitioners.
Mr.R.M.Patne AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.

CORAM: V.G.PALSHIKAR,AG.C.J. &
D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED: 19TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.:

. When these matters were called for hearing the learned Additional Government Pleader pointed out that the provisions of section 27(B) of the Bombay Police Act under which the Government and Director General of Police may at any time either suo motu or any other order passed by it can review and consequently it is submitted that if such review is sought by appropriate application the State shall consider the same.

2. Taking into consideration the

facts of the case where different punishments were given to different constables the basic charge being dereliction in escorting the convicts to and from the Court. In our opinion, the interest of justice would be served by setting aside the order of administrative tribunal and directing the petitioners to make application under section 27(B) of the Bombay Police Act within four weeks from today and if such applications are filed the State Government shall decide the same as early as possible and preferrably within three months from receipt of the applications. The petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

...